# The coupling of perception and integration For object discovery and understanding

(Dell)

Jen Jen Chung, Francesco Milano

14 October 2024





# Why dense object instance-aware scene reconstruction?



Grinvald et al., "Volumetric instance-aware semantic mapping and 3D object discovery", RAL 2019



#### Exploring interactions in an object-level map







## Exploring interactions in an object-level map





#### Learned affordances from interactive exploration



#### Wulkop et al., "Learning affordances from interactive exploration using an object-level map", ISRR 2024



# Finding and retrieving hidden objects







#### To grasp or not to grasp?





### Active search and grasp in clutter



Pitcher et al., "Reinforcement learning for active search and grasp in clutter", IROS 2024

# Object-level representations for robotic interaction



#### Harmony: Assistive robots for healthcare







#### Harmony: Assistive robots for healthcare





#### Harmony: Assistive robots for healthcare





#### Perception in support of robotic interaction

**Desiderata:** 



Accurate reconstruction (geometry, appearance)



Flexibility: Encode task-specific properties



Ability to easily incorporate new representations

How? Our hypothesis: Neural Fields + Neural Rendering



### Perception in support of robotic interaction

**One example task: 6-DoF Object Pose Estimation** 



State-of-the-art approaches rely on textured CAD models and photorealistic synthetic datasets (PBR)

How can neural fields and neural rendering help?













SurfEmb-based correspondence learning

bject model (NeuS2 / mesh) ---- Samp

#### TL;DR:

- Neural object representation: NeuS2
- Semi-automatic pipeline to train NeuS2 from ~100 few real images
- Novel view synthesis + online augmentation

to generate photorealistic training data







 $PnP+RANSAC \longrightarrow Pose refinement$ 

Estimated pose













![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

ica Agenciae de las legenseros (1.3)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

NeuSurfEmb

OnePose++ (w/o tracking, orig. recrop.)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Gen6D (with tracking) Gen6D (w/o tracking)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

NeuSurfEmb

OnePose++ (w/o tracking, orig. recrop.) OnePose++ (w/o tracking, prop. recrop.)

Gen6D (with tracking)

Gen6D (w/o tracking)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

NeuSurfEmb

OnePose++ (w/o tracking, orig. recrop.) OnePose++ (w/o tracking, prop. recrop.)

Gen6D (with tracking)

Gen6D (w/o tracking)

The low amount of texture generally causes less accurate predictions for OnePose++

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### What is the future of object representations for robotics?

- How can we form object representations even more efficiently?
- What type of properties should we additionally incorporate?

. . .

• Is an explicit database needed or will implicit, large-scale priors be the future?

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Jen Jen Chung

Robotic Perception, Planning and Learning Lab, UQ jenjen.chung@uq.edu.au

#### **Francesco Milano**

Autonomous Systems Lab, ETHZ francesco.milano@mavt.ethz.ch